

1 SUSANNE LEWALD, Acting Regional Solicitor THERESA S. GEE, Counsel for ERISA 2 State Bar No. 136241 LAWRENCE BREWSTER, Trial Attorney 3 State Bar No. 197728 Office of the Solicitor 4 United States Department of Labor 71 Stevenson Street, Suite 1110 5 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 975-4005 6 Telecopier: (415) 975-4495 7 MICHAEL SCHLOSS, Senior Trial Attorney State Bar No. 134124 8 Office of the Solicitor Plan Benefits Security Division 9 United States Department of Labor Post Office Box 1914 10 Washington, D.C. 20013 Telephone: (202) 693-5586 comer: 202) 693-5610

FILED OCT 1 0 2002 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEFUTY CLERK

16

Attorneys for Plaintiff

AUG 2 0 2002

O ERKAUS DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALM DEPUTY CLERK TO

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

States Department of Labor, 17 Plaintiff, 18 V. 19 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national 20 banking association, TOMS SIERRA COMPANY, INC., a California Corporation; NICHOLAS TOMS, an individual; 21 KIMBERLEY TOMS, an individual; ROY 22 TOMS, a deceased individual; by and through his successor in interest Doris Toms; DORIS TOMS, 23 an individual; NICOLA MACKLIN, an individual; KEN MACKLIN, an individual; 24 SEAJAY (TOMS) LIEBSCHER, an individual; BRANDON BEAN, an individual; 25 CHRISTOPHER BEAN, an individual, TOMS SIERRA COMPANY, INC. EMPLOYEE 26 27 28

ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of the United

Case No.: CIV. S-01-2211 LKK/PAN

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CONSENT DECREE AS TO **DEFENDANT WELLS FARGO** BANK, N.A. ONLY

-1-

PaloAlto 13690 v2 (2K)

Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree as

to Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Only

Plaintiff, ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of the United States Department of Labor (the "Secretary"), and defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), have agreed to settle matters in this civil action and consent to the entry of the following Consent Decree in

A. The Secretary's Complaint for Violations of ERISA ("Complaint") was filed on December 3, 2001, pursuant to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., alleging that Wells Fargo breached its fiduciary duties under ERISA in its capacity as independent trustee of the Toms Sierra Company, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Toms Sierra Company, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Trust (collectively, the "ESOP" or "Plan") by approving, and causing the ESOP to engage in. certain transactions resulting in losses to the ESOP in excess of \$10 million.

- B. This action has been related to that certain action captioned The Hon. Charles B. Renfrew (Ret.) v. Roy Toms, et al., Civil Action No. CIV-S-99-1941 LKK PAN (the "Renfrew Case"), which also involves the ESOP. Wells Fargo has been named as a defendant in the Renfrew Case.
- C. Wells Fargo neither admits nor denies that it has engaged in any wrongdoing or that it has any liability for any of the matters alleged in the Complaint. Wells Fargo voluntarily enters this Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree ("Consent Decree") solely to resolve disputed matters, to avoid the expense, inconvenience and distraction of litigation and to put to rest fully and finally all claims that have been asserted against it herein.

26

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

PaloAlto 13690 v2 (2K)

- D. The Secretary and Wells Fargo have negotiated an agreement to settle all claims and issues between them arising from the transactions and allegations which are more fully described in the Complaint. This settlement fully resolves all claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, by the Secretary against Wells Fargo with respect to Wells Fargo's actions, conduct and/or omissions as trustee of the ESOP, without regard to whether Wells Fargo was serving at the time as an independent trustee, discretionary trustee, directed trustee or otherwise.
- E. The Secretary and Wells Fargo represent to the Court that this Consent Decree is the sole and complete memorialization of the agreement negotiated between them with respect to the settlement of the Secretary's claims against Wells Fargo in connection with the ESOP.
- F. Pursuant to a separate settlement agreement reached between Wells Fargo and the ESOP's independent trustee, Hon. Charles B. Renfrew (Ret.), in the related case, Wells Fargo has agreed to pay to the ESOP \$5,350,000.00 as part of the settlement of plaintiffs' claims in the Renfrew Case.
- G. Plaintiffs in the Renfrew Case ("Renfrew Plaintiffs") have filed a motion for a good faith settlement determination with respect to the settlement agreement between the Renfrew Plaintiffs and Wells Fargo.
- H. Upon consideration of the record, and as agreed to by the parties, the Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this civil action.
- I. The Secretary and Wells Fargo expressly waive Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and consent to the entry of this Consent Decree as a full and complete resolution of all of the Secretary's claims against Wells Fargo with respect to its actions as trustee of the ESOP.
- J. The undersigned attorneys acknowledge and represent that they are counsel of record for the parties and are authorized and empowered to execute this Consent Decree on behalf of their respective clients.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that:

matter of this civil action and is empowered to provide the relief herein.

1

6

4

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

2728

PaloAlto 13690 v2 (2K)

- 2. Upon entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, Wells Fargo shall:
- a. conduct a self-audit in accordance with the terms of Exh. 1 attached hereto, the terms of which are incorporated herein by reference; and

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this Consent Decree and the subject

- b. provide periodic reports in accordance with the terms of Exh. 1 attached hereto to Bette Briggs, Regional Director, U.S. Department of Labor, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, 71 Stevenson Street, Suite 915, San Francisco, California, 94105.
- 3. The settlement agreement between the Secretary and Wells Fargo is expressly conditioned upon Wells Fargo complying with the terms of the separate settlement agreement between Wells Fargo and plaintiffs in the Renfrew Case. In the event that Wells Fargo fails to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement in the Renfrew Case, the settlement agreement between the Secretary and Wells Fargo shall be null and void and this Consent Decree shall, upon presentment either by the Secretary or by Wells Fargo, be vacated by the Court. Under those circumstances, the Secretary will be free to pursue all of the claims alleged, and seek all relief prayed for, against Wells Fargo in her complaint and Wells Fargo will be free to raise all defenses and to assert all other claims as allowed under the law.
- 4. Neither the voluntary acceptance by Wells Fargo of the terms of this Consent
 Decree nor any of the related settlement negotiations or proceedings between the Secretary
 and Wells Fargo is or shall be construed as or deemed to be evidence of an admission by
 Wells Fargo of the validity of any of the claims that were or could have been asserted by the
 Secretary or of the liability of Wells Fargo.
- 5. Neither this Consent Decree nor any of its terms shall be offered or received in evidence in this action, or in any other action or proceeding, provided, however, that nothing

Case 2:01-cv-02211-LKK-PAN Document 90 Filed 10/10/02 Page 5 of 15

contained herein shall prevent this Consent Decree from being offered or received in evidence in any proceeding to enforce or construe this Consent Decree.

- 6. Wells Fargo expressly waives any and all claims of whatsoever nature it has or believes it may have against the United States Department of Labor, the Secretary, or any of her officers, agents, employees, or representatives, arising out of or in connection with the filing, prosecution, and maintenance of this civil action or any other proceeding and investigation incident thereto through the date of execution of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, claims for costs, fees or other expenses under the Equal Access to Justice Act as amended.
- 7. Each party to this Consent Decree shall bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this civil action and all matters relating thereto.
- 8. This Consent Decree represents a full, final and complete judicial resolution of all claims between the Secretary and Wells Fargo with respect to the subject matter of this civil action.
- 9. This Consent Decree is not binding upon any agency of the United States Government other than the United States Department of Labor.
- 10. The Court shall retain such jurisdiction over the parties to this Consent Decree as may be necessary to enforce its executory provisions.
- 11. The Court, finding that there is no just reason to delay the entry of this Consent Decree, expressly directs the entry thereof as a final order.

SO ORDERED this day of Oct

Hon. Lawrence K.

Inited States District Judge

2002.

PaloAlto 13690 v2 (2K)

Case 2:01-cv-02211-LKK-PAN Document 90 Filed 10/10/02 Page 7 of 15

11					
1	The undersigned apply for and consent to the entry of the foregoing Consent Decr				
2					
3	Dated:			EUGENE SCALIA Solicitor of Labor	
4				SUSANNE LEWALD	
5				Acting Regional Solicitor	
6				THERESA S. GEE	
7				Counsel for ERISA	
8				MICHAEL SCHLOSS	
9				Senior Trial Attorney	
10					
11			By:	LAWRENCE BREWSTER	
12				Trial Attorney	
13					
14					
15					
16	Dated: 12 June 2002			WHITE & CASE LLP	
17			D	W. S. O)	
18			By:	Virginia L. Gibson	
19				Attorneys for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	
20				West and Samin, The I	
21					
22					
23					
23 24					
24 25					
26					
27					
28					
_0	PaloAho 13690 v2 (2K)	-6-		Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree as to Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Only	

Wells Fargo Self-Audit

A. **DEFINITIONS**

For purposes of this Self-Audit:

- 1. "WFB" means Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and its affiliates.
- 2. "Person" means an individual, partnership, joint venture, corporation, mutual company, joint-stock company, trust, estate, unincorporated organization, association, or employee organization.
- 3. "Fiduciary" means (a) a Person who exercises any discretionary authority or discretionary control respecting management of an ERISA plan or exercises any authority or control respecting management or disposition of its assets or (b) a Person who renders investment advice for a fee or other compensation, direct or indirect, with respect to any moneys or other property of such plan, or has any authority or responsibility to do so, or (c) a Person who has any discretionary authority or discretionary responsibility in the administration of such plan. "Fiduciary" does not include a Person who is subject to the directions of a named fiduciary or an investment manager or managers pursuant to ERISA Section 403.
- 4. "Existing Accounts" means all ERISA accounts in existence as of the date of execution of the Consent Decree in Chao v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., et al., Civil Action No. CIV. S-01-2211 LKK PAN (E.D. Calif.) ("Consent Decree") which hold closely-held employer securities and for which WFB served, at any time from January 1, 2000 to and including the date of execution of the Consent Decree, as Fiduciary with respect to the closely-held employer securities.
- 5. "Audit Period" means the period beginning on January 1, 2000 to and including the date of execution of the Consent Decree.
- 6. "Former Wells Accounts" means all ERISA accounts which held closely-held employer securities and for which Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. formerly served as Fiduciary with respect to the closely-held employer securities and which (a) originated as accounts directly with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and (b) terminated as accounts with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. during the Audit Period.

B. EXISTING ACCOUNTS

Upon execution of the Consent Decree WFB agrees as follows:

1. Special Accounts Team

- WFB will establish a Special Accounts Team, which will be led by the
 Director of Risk Management and Compliance for Retirement Plan Services,
 who reports to the Executive Vice President of the Institutional Trust Group.
- Within 30 days after the execution of the Consent Decree, the Special Accounts Team, utilizing written audit procedures, will begin to audit all Existing Accounts for the Audit Period to determine whether required valuations have been adequately performed and are current and whether the governing trust documents and any leveraged transaction documentation are adequate.
- The Special Accounts Team will work with the named fiduciaries/plan sponsors of the Existing Accounts to address and correct any deficiencies found with regard to the valuation and/or documentation of the Existing Accounts.
- The Special Accounts Team will develop policies, procedures and controls with respect to the Existing Accounts, including requirements and criteria for all valuations for the Existing Accounts, and will provide all such policies, procedures and controls to DOL.
- WFB will provide ongoing training and continuing education to members of the Special Accounts Team in the areas of general trust management, policies and procedures, documentation, account review, valuation standards, and requirements under ERISA and other applicable laws.
- The Special Accounts Team will assume responsibility for the ongoing administration of all Existing Accounts.

2. Quarterly Reports

• Within 60 days of the date on which the audit is initiated, the Executive Vice President of the Institutional Trust Group will provide the DOL with a report on the Special Account Team's specific findings and progress to date. This initial report ("First Quarterly Report") will identify each of the Existing Accounts audited to date by the Special Accounts Team and each account not yet audited by providing each such account's name, EIN and CUSIP number (if any). The First Quarterly Report will set forth the date each Plan became a client of WFB, current value of Plan assets, current value of any closely-held employer securities, any customer complaints received concerning each of the Existing Accounts audited to date and any outstanding litigation involving any of those Existing Accounts. The Special Accounts Team will also identify whether an Existing Account client is, or has been at any time beginning on January 1, 1998, involved in any corporate restructuring, recapitalization, leveraging of the ERISA plan, acquisition or disposition of closely-held

employer securities, or any event typically requiring "pass-through voting" either under the terms of the plan's governing documents or the Internal Revenue Code. The First Quarterly Report will also provide a description of the nature of any issue identified by the Special Accounts Team in the administration of the Existing Accounts and a summary of the steps taken and a timetable for any additional steps planned to address such issues as of the date of the report.

• Every three months thereafter, the Executive Vice President of the Institutional Trust Group will report in writing to the DOL concerning any updates to prior reports and concerning any additional Existing Accounts that have been reviewed by the Special Account Team over the prior three months. With respect to any such additional Existing Accounts, these periodic reports ("Subsequent Quarterly Reports") will provide the same kind of information as is described above with respect to the First Quarterly Report. WFB will continue to provide Subsequent Quarterly Reports until the issues identified in the Existing Accounts have been addressed and/or there are no further measures that may be taken by WFB.

C. FORMER DIRECT WELLS FARGO BANK ACCOUNTS

In addition to the provisions for Existing Accounts described above, the Special Accounts Team will review the files of Former Wells Accounts. In the First Quarterly Report, the Executive Vice President of the Institutional Trust Group will include a report on the Former Wells Accounts, including the identity of Former Wells Accounts, date of termination, the reasons given by the Former Wells Accounts for termination of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s services as Fiduciary, and whether any Former Wells Account is, or has been at any time beginning on January 1, 2000, involved in any corporate restructuring, recapitalization, leveraging of the ERISA plan, acquisition or disposition of closely-held employer securities, or any event typically requiring "pass-through voting" either under the terms of the plan's governing documents or the Internal Revenue Code.

D. DOL ACCESS TO DOCUMENTS

Upon issuance of an administrative subpoena, WFB will make available to the DOL all of the materials and documents upon which the Special Accounts Team relied in reaching its findings about the Existing Accounts and/or about the Former Wells Accounts. WFB understands that the DOL intends to issue subpoenas to secure the underlying documents on some or all of the Existing Accounts and Former Wells Accounts identified in the Quarterly Reports.

E. TREATMENT OF QUARTERLY REPORTS

Because the First Quarterly Report and Subsequent Quarterly Reports
 ("Reports") will contain sensitive and confidential information concerning
 both WFB and the Existing Accounts, WFB will mark the Reports
 "Confidential Pursuant to Consent Decree." If the DOL receives a request for

production, either under the Freedom of Information Act or arising from any litigation, which would compel the disclosure of the Reports, the DOL will not disclose the Reports or their contents without first notifying WFB and providing WFB an opportunity to undertake any action it deems appropriate. The DOL will not disclose the Reports or their contents to any third party except as required pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act or subpoena (after notice to WFB as provided herein) or except as provided below.

- The Reports are for the purpose of informing the DOL of WFB's progress in responding to issues identified, if any, in the Existing Accounts and their contents shall not be construed as or deemed to be evidence of an admission by WFB. The Reports shall not be offered or used in evidence by the DOL or WFB in any proceeding other than in an action or proceeding to enforce this Consent Decree and/or to demonstrate compliance with the Consent Decree.
- If WFB takes all reasonable and timely steps to address issues, if any, in the Existing Accounts, the DOL will not take any enforcement action against WFB other than the issuance and enforcement of administrative subpoenas as contemplated in Section D, without first providing WFB, in writing, notice of the DOL's concerns and the DOL's intended enforcement action and without giving WFB a reasonable time to respond to the concerns.

2 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 3 I am a citizen of the United States of America and am over eighteen years of age. I am not a party to 4 the within action; my business address is 71 Stevenson Street, Suite 1110, San Francisco, California 94105-5 2999. On August 19, 2002, I served the within 6 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CONSENT DECREE AS TO DEFENDANT W ELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. ONLY 7 by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed government envelope with postage thereon, mailed in San Francisco, 8 addressed to: 9 Virginia L. Gibson, Esq. Stuart Lansing Smits, Esq. 10 Ellen McGinty King, Esq. Stuart L. Smits & Associates White & Case LLP 2114 K Street 3000 El Camino Real 11 Sacramento, California 95816 Five Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Attorneys for Nicholas Toms, Kimberley 12 Palo Alto, California 94306 Toms, Roy Toms, Doris Toms, Nicola Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Macklin, Ken Macklin, Seajay (Toms) 13 Liebscher, Brandon Bean, and Bruce H. Jackson, Esq. Christopher Bean. Baker & McKenzie 14 Two Embarcadero Center, 24th Floor James Watson, Esq. 15 San Francisco, CA 94111-3909 Stanton, Kay and Watson Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 101 New Montgomery Street, 5th Floor 16 San Francisco, California 94105 Whitney F. Washburn, Esq. Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants James 17 Glick & Washburn Dunbar, Gary Weisz, Claudette Horvath, 11341 Gold Express Drive, Suite 110 and Toms Sierra Co., Inc. Employee Stock Gold River, California 95670 18 Ownership Plan Administrative Committee Attorneys for Toms Sierra Co., Inc. 19 Steven A. Lewis, Esq. Cheryl D. Orr, Esq. Lewis & Bacon Carlton, DiSante & Freudenberger LLP 20 1050 Fulton Ave. Suite 125 388 Market Street, Suite 900 Sacramento, California 95825 21 San Francisco, California 94111 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Attorneys for the Honorable Charles Ludwig, Goldberg & Krenzel, LLC 22 Renfrew in his capacity as independent fiduciary of the Toms Sierra Co., Inc. Amiram J. Givon, Esq. 23 Employee Stock Ownership Plan Sideman & Bancroft One Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor 24 D. Ward Kallstrom, Esq. San Francisco, California 94111 Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant 685 Market Street, 6th Floor 25 Valuation Analysts, Inc. San Francisco, California 94105 26 For the Honorable Charles Renfrew in his Attorneys capacity as independent 27 fiduciary of the Toms Sierra Co., Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

28

Case 2:01-cv-02211-LKK-PAN Document 90 Filed 10/10/02 Page 13 of 15

1	James G. Driscoll, Esq. Bullivant Houser Bailey PC Charles L. Post, Esq. Julie L. Harlan, Esq.			
3	333 Market Street, Suite 2800 Weintraub, Genshlea, Chediak & Sproul San Francisco, California 94105-2129 400 Capitol Mall, 11 th Floor Sacramento, California 95814			
4	Energy, LLC, Applegate, LLC, and John Greenbank Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant James S. Enge			
5	Anthony J. DeCristoforo Wilke Fleury Hoffelt Gould and Birney			
6	Wilke Fleury Hoffelt Gould and Birney 400 Capitol Mall, 22 nd Floor Sacramento, California 95814			
7 8	Attorneys for James Bobst (Def. in related case <u>Renfrew v. Roy Toms, et al., Civ.</u>			
9	S-99-1941 LKK PAN)			
10	I certify that under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 19, 2002.			
11				
12				
13	KRISHNA M. TINDALL Legal Technician OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR			
14				
15	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28

Case 2:01-cv-02211-LKK-PAN Document 90 Filed 10/10/02 Page 14 of 15d

United States District Court for the Eastern District of California October 10, 2002

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

2:01-cv-02211

Chao

v.

Wells Fargo Bank NA

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on October 10, 2002, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office, or, pursuant to prior authorization by counsel, via facsimile.

Lawrence Brewster SJ/LKK United States Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor 71 Stevenson Street Suite 1110 San Francisco, CA 94105

Whitney F. Washburn Law Office of Whitney Washburn 11341 Gold Express Drive Suite 110 Gold River, CA 95670

Stuart Lansing Smits Stuart L Smits and Associates 400 Capitol Mall 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Jay Allen Eisen Eisen and Johnston Law Corporation P O Box 111 980 Ninth Street Suite 1400 Sacramento, CA 95812-0111 Cheryl Denise Orr Carlton DiSante and Freudenberger LLP 388 Market Street Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111

Virginia L Gibson White and Case 3000 El Camino Real Five Palo Alto Square, Tenth Floor Palo Alto, CA 94306

Thomas O Jacob Wells Fargo Bank National Association 633 Folsom Street Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94107

Steven A Lewis Lewis and Bacon 1050 Fulton Avenue Suite 125 Sacramento, CA 95825

Amiram Joseph Givon Sideman and Bancroft One Embarcadero Center Suite 860 San Francisco, CA 94111

Christopher Todd Norris Bullivant Houser Bailey 333 Market Street Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94105-2129

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

BY:

Deputy Clerk